

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
Feedlot Program

2016 Annual County Feedlot Officer Report
Supplemental Information Page
January 1, 2016 – December 31, 2016

County Name:

_____ Cottonwood _____

Work Plan Inspection
Goals

Please describe the progress made during the calendar year in meeting your 2016 work plan inspection goals. You must provide quantitative results for each inspection production site and land application goal listed in your work plan.

Strategy Goal	Inspection Goal 2016*	Inspection Goal 2017*
Sites proposing construction or expansion	2	2
Sites with an Interim or CSF permit	2	2
Sites with OLAs that have never been Inspected	0	0
Sites required to be registered that have never been inspected	6	6
Total	10	10

Strategy Goal	Inspection Goal 2016*	Inspection Goal 2017*
<i>Sites within shoreland and/or a Drinking Water Supply Management Area (DWSMA), Watershed Restoration and Protection Strategy (WRAPS) and/or a TMDL.</i>	2	2
<i>Sites that, according to previous inspections, have not been maintaining adequate land application records and/or manure management plans.</i>	2	2
<i>Sites within a specified size category such as 300 – 499 AU or 500 – 999 AU.</i>	2	2
<i>Level 2 or 3 land application inspections as part of a compliance inspection or a Level 3 land application inspection conducted at non-NPDES sites >300 AU.</i>	2	2
Total	8	8

**Enter the number of inspections the County predicts will be completed for each category.*

Note: Numbers entered for Level 3 land application strategy goals must be quantified by feedlot sites and not individual farm fields.

Inspection Strategy Totals

	Inspection Goal 2016*	Inspection Goal 2017*
Total	18	18

The 2016 goals that our Delegation plan describes are listed above.

The first chart shows the inspections at any site looking to expand or that hasn't been inspected before. Cottonwood County conducted 16 Construction inspections on 8 sites that applied for either a Notice of Expansion/Construction Short Form Permit.

We also conducted 6 inspections on sites that were looking to close, none of these sites have ever had an inspection on them.

Cottonwood County has conducted 4 inspections inside of shore land, one was a construction inspection, and the other were 3 compliance inspections.

The County has asked to see compliant manure records for 3 sites this year, none of the sites that the request was made of have furnished records as of 2/1/17.

The County has inspected 3 sites within the 300-999 AU. 2 were Construction Inspections, while 1 was a Compliance inspection.

The County also requires that Manure Records and a Manure Management Plan be available for the County Feedlot Officer while onsite for any feedlot that is required. Any feedlot over 99 AUs is required to show the manure records for the previous three years. 5 feedlots were required to show manure records, multiple sites failed compliance due to it.

The County conducted 23 inspections during the 2016 calendar year. 8 of those 23 inspections were construction with all of them having multiple trips onsite.

Owner Assistance Goals

Please report on the following owner assistance activities conducted in the past year. Include **date and description** for each activity listed.

- Workshops or trainings hosted and/or co-sponsored by the CFO:
0
- Newsletters/direct mailings sent to feedlot owners:
Cottonwood County sent out quarterly newsletters to all registered Feedlot Owners during 2016. A copy of the newsletters has been attached.
- Feedlot articles placed in local newspapers:
Cottonwood County has place an Advertisement in the Cottonwood County Citizen. See Attached Advertisement.
- Other information and outreach activities not identified above:
0

Staffing Level and Training

Please list the training events you attended. Include date and the number of hours of participation for each event listed.

See The Copy of Annual Training Credits

Feedlot Enforcement Actions

Please describe any enforcement actions (LOW, NOV, court actions) you conducted.

The farthest we have gotten with an enforcement action was to send out Compliance inspection letters. No LOW, NOV or court actions have been conducted.

Other Program Activities

Please list sites where a MinnFARM was conducted. List the number of MinnFARMS conducted at each site.

Cottonwood County has conducted MinnFarms for 5 sites. The sites were

1. Larry Polzin Farm Amboy Sect. 13 3 MinnFarms Conducted
2. Brent Therkilesen Farm Storden 31 2 MinnFarms Conducted
3. Loren Redman Farm Germantown 6 2 MinnFarms Conducted
4. Randy Therkilsen Farm Delton 6 2 MinnFarms Conducted
5. Steve Evers Farm Delton 4 2 MinnFarms Conducted

Please list mentorship documentation here.

No Mentorship has been conducted this year

Please list any meetings, including meeting dates, which you attended with local government

services and producer groups (including SWCD and NRCS Offices, Minnesota Extension Service, Dairy Inspectors, Minnesota Pork Producers, Minnesota Dairy Association, Minnesota Cattleman's Association).

No meetings were attended this year.

Please use this space to describe any feedlot ordinance revision and/or adoption proceedings for this reporting period.

No Ordinance Revisions have taken place this year for Feedlots.

Please use this space to list any county feedlot program activities conducted during this reporting period not identified in this form.

Cottonwood County has participated in the 100% feedlot inventory that was conducted through Heron Lake Watershed District in the West Fork Des Moines Watershed. We completed the final inventories this spring.